

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 PACIFIC ROLLFORMING, LLC,
11 Plaintiff,
12 v.
13 TRAKLOC INTERNATIONAL, LLC, *et*
14 *al.*
15 Defendants.
16 _____
17 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.
18 _____

Civil No. 07cv1897-L(JMA)

**ORDER GRANTING EX PARTE
APPLICATION TO WITHDRAW AS
COUNSEL AND VACATING
EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION
CONFERENCE**

18 On October 10, 2008, counsel for Defendant and Counterclaimant Trakloc North
19 America, LLC (“TLNA”) and for Defendants David Jablow (“Jablow”), Southeast Metals, Inc.
20 (“SEM”), Gary Nelson (“Nelson”) and Thomas Horst (“Horst,” collectively “Clients”) filed an
21 ex parte application to withdraw as counsel of record. Although Clients and Plaintiff were
22 served with the application, they did respond. For the reasons which follow, the application to
23 withdraw is **GRANTED**.

24 An attorney representing a client before a tribunal may not withdraw except by leave of
25 court. *Darby v. City of Torrance*, 810 F. Supp. 275, 276 (C.D. Cal. 1992); Cal. R. Prof. Conduct
26 3-700(A)(1). This court requires counsel to “comply with the standards of professional conduct
27 required of members of the State Bar of California, and decisions of any court applicable
28 thereto.” Civ. Loc. R. 83.4(b).

1 Counsel maintains withdrawal is necessitated by several issues.¹ TLNA and Jablow
 2 expressly requested counsel to be substituted out of the case. SEM, Nelson and Horst indicated
 3 that their interests may be in conflict with the TLNA and Jablow's interests. In addition to these
 4 circumstances, there has been a breakdown in the attorney-client relationship due to
 5 disagreements regarding the best way to proceed with Clients' case. Furthermore, the Clients
 6 have not paid for counsel's services. The counsel argues these circumstances have rendered
 7 continued representation unreasonably difficult.

8 Withdrawal is permissible under any one of these circumstances. *See* Cal. R. Prof.
 9 Conduct 3-700(C)(5) (client's agreement to terminate counsel); 3-700(C)(2) (likely violation of
 10 Rule 3-310(c)(2) regarding potential conflicts among clients); 3-700(C)(1)(e) (disagreement
 11 about how to proceed with the case); 3-700(C)(1)(f) (failure to pay fees) & 3-700(C)(1)(d)
 12 (unreasonably difficult for counsel to carry out his employment). Although the rules do not
 13 mandate withdrawal, they make it permissive.

14 An attorney may not withdraw until he or she "has taken reasonable steps to avoid
 15 reasonably foreseeable prejudice to the rights of the client" Cal. R. Prof. Conduct 3-
 16 100(A)(2). Prior to requesting withdrawal, counsel prepared a substitution of counsel
 17 substituting in the counsel requested by TLNA and Jablow. Although they signed the
 18 substitution, they failed to secure the signatures of the remaining Clients and the attorney they
 19 wanted to substitute in. Accordingly, the substitution was never filed with this court.
 20 Subsequently, counsel informed Clients of his intent to file the instant application and of their
 21 need to retain new counsel, particularly with respect to the clients who are not individuals. *See*
 22 Civ. Local Rule 83.3(k); *D-Beam Ltd. P'ship v. Roller Derby Skates, Inc.*, 366 F.3d 972, 973-74
 23 (9th Cir. 2004) (corporations and unincorporated associations). At the time of the filing of the
 24 application there were no hearings or due dates apparent from the court's docket necessitating
 25

26 ¹ Under the State Bar of California Rules of Professional Conduct, attorneys are
 27 bound to preserve client confidences when seeking withdrawal, if disclosing them would
 28 prejudice the client or violate the counsel's duty of confidentiality. *See* Cal. R. Prof. Conduct 3-
 700(A)(2). In light of these limitations, counsel often is not able to discuss in detail the reasons
 for withdrawal.

1 Clients' action. Accordingly, the counsel took sufficient steps to avoid reasonably foreseeable
2 prejudice to Clients. Having reviewed the application and the supporting declaration of counsel
3 the court finds good cause to grant the application.

4 For the foregoing reasons, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** as follows:

5 1. Defense counsel's *ex parte* application to withdraw as counsel of record is
6 **GRANTED**. The Clerk of Court shall terminate Law Offices of Lawrence H. Davidson as
7 counsel for Defendant and Counterclaimant Trakloc North America, LLC and for Defendants
8 David Jablow, Southeast Metals, Inc., Gary Nelson and Thomas Horst.

9 2. The defense counsel shall serve a copy of this order on Clients by most expeditious
10 means available.

11 3. Defendants Jablow, Nelson and Horst are hereby substituted *in propria persona*. No
12 later than **November 5, 2008**, Messrs. Jablow, Nelson and Horst shall file either a notice of their
13 respective addresses where they can be served, *see* Civ. L. Rule 83.11, or a notice identifying
14 their respective attorneys, including attorney addresses and telephone numbers.


15 4. No later than **November 5, 2008**, Defendant and Counterclaimant Trakloc North
16 America, LLC and Defendant Southeast Metals, Inc. shall file a notice identifying their
17 respective attorneys, including attorney addresses and telephone numbers. *See* Civ. L. Rule
18 83.3(k); *D-Beam Ltd. P'ship*, 366 F.3d at 973-74.

19 5. Default may be entered and/or counterclaims dismissed with respect to the parties who
20 fail to comply with this order.

21 6. The early neutral evaluation conference currently set on the calendar of Magistrate
22 Judge Jan M. Adler for November 21, 2008 is hereby **VACATED** and shall be reset by the
23 Magistrate Court as appropriate upon consideration of this order.

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25 DATED: October 21, 2008

26 
27 M. James Lorenz
28 United States District Court Judge

1 COPY TO:

2 HON. JAN M. ADLER
3 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

4 ALL PARTIES/COUNSEL
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28